

#### COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY TRACKING FORM

DATE: JUNE 2015

PACKET: 1234

DRUG: Melphalan Hydrochloride

INDICATION: Retinoblastoma [Pediatrics]

COMP	COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS		
1	Provide criteria used to evaluate/prioritize the request (therapy)		
2	Disclose evidentiary materials reviewed or considered		
3	Provide names of individuals who have substantively participated in the review or disposition of the request and disclose their potential		
	direct or indirect conflicts of interest		
4	Provide meeting minutes and records of votes for disposition of the request (therapy)		

# EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA: A, C, E, L, P, R, S \*to meet requirement 1

CODE	EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA
Α	Treatment represents an established standard of care or significant advance over current therapies
С	Cancer or cancer-related condition
E	Quantity and robustness of evidence for use support consideration
L	Limited alternative therapies exist for condition of interest
Р	Pediatric condition
R	Rare disease
S	Serious, life-threatening condition

Note: a combination of codes may be applied to fully reflect points of consideration [eg, therapy may represent an advance in the treatment of a life-threatening condition with limited treatment alternatives (ASL)]



## **EVIDENCE CONSIDERED:**

\*to meet requirements 2 and 4

CITATION	STUDY-SPECIFIC COMMENTS	LITERATURE CODE
Suzuki,S., Aihara,Y., Fujiwara,M., et al: Intravitreal injection of melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma.  Japanese Journal of Ophthalmology 2015; Vol Epub, p. Epub.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	S
Francis, J.H., Abramson, D.H., Gaillard, MC., et al: The Classification of Vitreous Seeds in Retinoblastoma and Response to Intravitreal Melphalan. Ophthalmology 2015; Vol Epub, p. Epub.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	S
Suzuki,S., et al: Selective ophthalmic arterial injection therapy for intraocular retinoblastoma: The long-term prognosis.  Ophthalmology Oct 2011; Vol 118, Issue 10; pp. 2081-2087	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3
Gobin, Y.P., et al: Intra-arterial chemotherapy for the management of retinoblastoma four-year experience. Archives of Ophthalmology Jun 2011; Vol 129, Issue 6; pp. 732-737.	This was an open-label single-arm study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.1	S



Shields, C.L., et al: Intra-arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma in 70 eyes: Outcomes based on the international classification of retinoblastoma. Ophthalmology Jul 2014; Vol 121, Issue 7; pp. 1453-1460.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	S
Marr,B.P.: Success of intra-arterial chemotherapy (chemosurgery) for retinoblastoma: effect of orbitovascular anatomy. Archives of Ophthalmology Feb 2012; Vol 130, Issue 2; pp. 180-185.	This was 2a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	1
Abramson, D.H., et al: Ophthalmic artery chemosurgery for less advanced intraocular retinoblastoma: Five year review. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] Apr 2012; Vol 7, Issue 4; p. 1.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Although the authors did not specifiy if the data was gathered from medical records, they did state the outcomes were measured before and after both dilation and undergoing anesthesia. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	2
Venturi,C.: Superselective ophthalmic artery infusion of melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma: preliminary results from 140 treatments. Acta Opthalmologica Jun 2013; Vol 91, Issue 4; pp. 335-342.	This was an open-label single-arm study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	S
Francis, J.H., et al: Efficacy and Toxicity of Second-Course Ophthalmic Artery Chemosurgery for Retinoblastoma. Ophthalmology 2015;	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	S



Phillips,T.J., et al: Autonomic cardio-respiratory reflex reactions and superselective ophthalmic arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma. Paediatric anaesthesia Oct 2013; Vol 23, Issue 10; pp. 940-945.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed.	2
Munier,F.L., et al: Intravitreal chemotherapy for vitreous disease in retinoblastoma revisited: From prohibition to conditional indications. British journal of ophthalmology 2012; Vol 96, Issue 8; pp. 1078-1083.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3
Francis, J.H.: Local and systemic toxicity of intravitreal melphalan for vitreous seeding in retinoblastoma: a preclinical and clinical study. Ophthalmology Sep 2014; Vol 121, Issue 9; pp. 1810-1817.	This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II trial. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3
Ghassemi,F.: Intravitreal melphalan for refractory or recurrent vitreous seeding from retinoblastoma. Archives of Ophthalmology Oct 2012; Vol 130, Issue 10; pp. 1268-1271.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3
Ghassemi,F.: Combined intravitreal melphalan and topotecan for refractory or recurrent vitreous seeding from retinoblastoma. JAMA Ophthalmology Aug 2014; Vol 132, Issue 8; pp. 936-941	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3



Shields, C.L., et al: Intravitreal melphalan for persistent or recurrent retinoblastoma vitreous seeds: Preliminary results. JAMA Ophthalmology Mar 2014; Vol 132, Issue 3; pp. 319-325.	This was a retrospective study. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered from medical records. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	3
Smith, S.J., Smith, B.D., and Mohney, B.G.: Ocular side effects following intravitreal injection therapy for retinoblastoma: A systematic review. British journal of ophthalmology 2014; Vol 98, Issue 3; pp. 292-297.		S
Smith SJ & Smith BD. Evaluating the risk of extraocular tumour spread following intravitreal injection therapy for retinoblastoma: a systematic review. Br J Ophthalmol 2013;97:1231-1236.		S
Araki,Y., et al: Secondary neoplasms after retinoblastoma treatment: Retrospective cohort study of 754 patients in Japan. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology Mar 2011; Vol 41, Issue 3; pp. 373-379.		1
Gunduz,K., et al: Retinoblastoma in Turkey: Results from a tertiary care center in Ankara. Journal of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus Sep 2013; Vol 50, Issue 5; pp. 296-303.		1



Klufas,M.A., et al: Intra-arterial		j
chemotherapy as a treatment for		
intraocular retinoblastoma:		4
Alternatives to direct ophthalmic		1
artery catheterization. American		
Journal of Neuroradiology 2012; Vol		
33, Issue 8; pp. 1608-1614.		
Akiyama,M., et al: Reduced cycles		
of systemic chemotherapy with		
following more focused local		
treatments for intraocular		1
retinoblastoma. Pediatric Blood and		
Cancer Dec 2012; Vol 59, Issue 6;		
p. 1063.		
Okimoto,S. and Nomura,K.: Clinical		
manifestations and treatment of		
retinoblastoma in kobe children's		
hospital for 16 years. Journal of		1
Pediatric Ophthalmology and		'
Strabismus 2014; Vol 51, Issue 4;		
pp. 222-229.		
Palioura,S., et al: Ophthalmic artery		
chemosurgery for the management		
of retinoblastoma in eyes with		4
extensive (>50%) retinal		1
detachment. Pediatric Blood and		
Cancer Nov 2012; Vol 59, Issue 5;		
pp. 859-864.		
	single-arm, phase II trial. There was low risk of bias associated with	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All	
	The results should be interpreted with caution since the study	2
with retinoblastoma. Ophthalmology   lacked a control group.		~
Apr 2014; Vol 121, Issue 4; pp. 889-		
897.		



Marr,B.P., et al: Three-drug intra- arterial chemotherapy using simultaneous carboplatin, topotecan and melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma: Preliminary results. British journal of ophthalmology 2012; Vol 96, Issue 10; pp. 1300- 1303.		2
Rojanaporn,D.: Intravenous chemoreduction or intra-arterial chemotherapy for cavitary retinoblastoma: long-term results. Archives of Ophthalmology May 2012; Vol 130, Issue 5; pp. 585-590.		1
Abramson, D.H., et al: Superselective ophthalmic artery chemotherapy as primary treatment for retinoblastoma (chemosurgery). Ophthalmology Aug 2010; Vol 117, Issue 8; pp. 1623-1629.	This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II trial. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	2
Shields,C.L., et al: Intra-arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma: Report no. 1, control of retinal tumors, subretinal seeds, and vitreous seeds. Archives of Ophthalmology Nov 2011; Vol 129, Issue 11; pp. 1399-1406.		2



Thampi,S., et al: Superselective intra-arterial melphalan therapy for newly diagnosed and refractory retinoblastoma: Results from a single institution. Clinical Ophthalmology May 24, 2013; Vol 7, pp. 981-989.		2
Schaiquevich,P.: Intra-arterial chemotherapy is more effective than sequential periocular and intravenous chemotherapy as salvage treatment for relapsed retinoblastoma. Pediatric blood & cancer May 2013; Vol 60, Issue 5; pp. 766-770.		2
Peterson, E.C., et al: Selective ophthalmic artery infusion of chemotherapy for advanced intraocular retinoblastoma: Initial experience with 17 tumors. Journal of neurosurgery Jun 2011; Vol 114, Issue 6; pp. 1603-1608.	This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II trial. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	2
Muen,W.J., et al: Efficacy and complications of super-selective intra-ophthalmic artery melphalan for the treatment of refractory retinoblastoma. Ophthalmology Mar 2012; Vol 119, Issue 3; pp. 611-616.	This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II trial. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group and tumor control was not defined.	2



Shields, C.L., et al: Management of advanced retinoblastoma with		
intravenous chemotherapy then		
intra-arterial chemotherapy as		2
alternative to enucleation. Retina		_
Nov 2013; Vol 33, Issue 10; pp.		
2103-2109.		
Kingston, J., et al: Intra-arterial	Abstract	
melphalan chemotherapy in		
relapsed retinblastoma is an		
effective salvage therapy and a safe		
alternative to external beam		4
radiotherapy. Pediatric Blood and		
Cancer 2012; Vol 59, Issue 6; pp.		
985-986.		
Lee,S.H., et al: Tandem high-dose		
chemotherapy and autologous stem		
cell rescue in children with bilateral		4
advanced retinoblastoma. Bone		ı
Marrow Transplantation 2008; Vol		
42, Issue 6; pp. 385-391.		
Ong,S.J., et al: Selective ophthalmic		
arterial injection of melphalan for		
intraocular retinoblastoma: a 4-year		2
review. Jpn J Ophthalmol Dec 03,		
2014		
Tsimpida,M.: Visual outcomes		
following intraophthalmic artery		
melphalan for patients with		
refractory retinoblastoma and age		2
appropriate vision. British journal of		
ophthalmology Nov 2013; Vol 97,		
Issue 11; pp. 1464-1470		



Parareda,A.: Intra-arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma. Acta Opthalmologica May 2014; Vol 92, Issue 3; pp. 209-215.	This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II trial. The trial ended prematurely due to difficulty with patient accrual. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of patients and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively. All subjects were analyzed. The results should be interpreted with caution since the study lacked a control group.	2
Palma,J.: Successful treatment of metastatic retinoblastoma with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell rescue in South America. Bone Marrow Transplantation Apr 2012; Vol 47, Issue 4; pp. 522-527.		1
Abramson, D.H., et al: A phase I/II study of direct intraarterial (ophthalmic artery) chemotherapy with melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma. Initial results. Ophthalmology Aug 2008; Vol 115, Issue 8; pp. 1398-1404.		2
Vajzovic,L.M., et al: Supraselective intra-arterial chemotherapy: Evaluation of treatment-related complications in advanced retinoblastoma. Clinical Ophthalmology 2011; Vol 5, Issue 1; pp. 171-176.		2
Shields, C.L., et al: Minimal exposure (one or two cycles) of intra-arterial chemotherapy in the management of retinoblastoma.  Ophthalmology Jan 2012; Vol 119, Issue 1; pp. 188-192.		1



Gonzalez Monroy, J.E., Orbach, D.B., and Vander Veen, D.: Complications of intra-Arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma. Seminars in ophthalmology 2014; Vol 29, Issue 5-6; pp. 429-433.	4
Dunkel, I.J., et al: Risk factors for severe neutropenia following intra- arterial chemotherapy for intra- ocular retinoblastoma. PLoS ONE Oct 2014; Vol 9, Issue 10; p. 1.	1
Francis, J.H., et al: Electroretinogram monitoring of dose-dependent toxicity after ophthalmic artery chemosurgery in retinoblastoma eyes: Six year review. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] Jan 2014; Vol 9, Issue 1; p. 1.	1
Phillips,T., et al: Myocardial Stun phenomenon during intra- ophthalmic artery chemotherapy for relapsed retinoblastoma treatment. Paediatric anaesthesia Sep 2012; Vol 22, Issue 9; p. 926.	2
Munier,F.L.: Occurrence of sectoral choroidal occlusive vasculopathy and retinal arteriolar embolization after superselective ophthalmic artery chemotherapy for advanced intraocular retinoblastoma. Retina Mar 2011; Vol 31, Issue 3; pp. 566-573.	2



Steinle, J.J., et al: Intra-ophthalmic	
artery chemotherapy triggers	
vascular toxicity through endothelial	
cell inflammation and leukostasis.	1
Investigative Ophthalmology and	
Visual Science Apr 2012; Vol 53,	
Issue 4; pp. 2439-2445.	
Shields, C.L., et al: Intra-arterial	
chemotherapy for retinoblastoma:	
Report no. 2, treatment	2
complications. Archives of	2
Ophthalmology Nov 2011; Vol 129,	
Issue 11; pp. 1407-1415.	
Nath, C.E., et al: Melphalan	
pharmacokinetics in children with	
malignant disease: Influence of	
body weight, renal function,	4
carboplatin therapy and total body	I
irradiation. British Journal of Clinical	
Pharmacology 2005; Vol 59, Issue	
3; pp. 314-324.	
Nath, C.E., et al: Population	
pharmacokinetics of melphalan in	
paediatric blood or marrow	1
transplant recipients. British Journal	I
of Clinical Pharmacology 2007; Vol	
64, Issue 2; pp. 151-164.	



Schaiquevich,P.: Pharmacokinetic	
analysis of melphalan after	
superselective ophthalmic artery	
infusion in preclinical models and	4
retinoblastoma patients.	I
Investigative Ophthalmology &	
Visual Science 2012; Vol 53, Issue	
7; pp. 4205-4212.	
Schaiquevich,P., et al: Clinical	
pharmacokinetics of melphalan after	
super-selective ophthalmic artery	4
infusion in retinoblastoma patients.	I
Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Oct	
2013; Vol 35, Issue 5; p. 713.	
Chung, C.Y., et al: Retinoblastoma:	
Evidence for stage-based	
chemotherapy. International	4
Ophthalmology Clinics 2015; Vol	
55, Issue 1; pp. 63-75.	
Shields, C.L., et al: Retinoblastoma	
frontiers with intravenous, intra-	
arterial, periocular, and intravitreal	4
chemotherapy. Eye Feb 27, 2013;	
Vol 27, Issue 2; pp. 253-264.	
Dimaras,H., et al: Retinoblastoma.	
The Lancet Apr 2012; Vol 379,	4
Issue 9824; pp. 1436-1440.	
Zanaty,M., et al: Update on intra-	
arterial chemotherapy for	
retinoblastoma. Scientific World	4
Journal 2014; Vol 2014, Issue	
869604; p. 1.	



Shields, C.L., et al: Targeted	
retinoblastoma management: when	
to use intravenous, intra-arterial,	
periocular, and intravitreal	4
chemotherapy. Curr Opin	
Ophthalmol Sep 2014; Vol 25, Issue	
5; pp. 374-385.	
Ghassemi,F., et al: Regression	
patterns in treated retinoblastoma	
with chemotherapy plus focal	4
adjuvant therapy. Pediatric Blood	ı
and Cancer Apr 2013; Vol 60, Issue	
4; pp. 599-604.	
Ghassemi,F.: Pathological findings	
in enucleated eyes after intravitreal	
melphalan injection. International	1
Ophthalmology Jun 2014; Vol 34,	
Issue 3; pp. 533-540.	
Buitrago,E., et al: Stability of	
melphalan solution for intravitreal	
injection for retinoblastoma. JAMA	1
Ophthalmol Nov 2014; Vol 132,	
Issue 11; pp. 1372-1373.	
Shields, C.L., et al: Retinoblastoma	
frontiers with intravenous, intra-	
arterial, periocular, and intravitreal	4
chemotherapy. Eye Feb 27, 2013;	
Vol 27, Issue 2; pp. 253-264.	
Munier,F.L et al: Intravitreal	
chemotherapy for vitreous seeding	
in retinoblastoma: Recent advances	4
and perspectives. Saudi Journal of	7
Ophthalmology Jul 2013; Vol 27,	
Issue 3; pp. 147-150.	



Shields,C.L., et al: Targeted	
retinoblastoma management: when	
to use intravenous, intra-arterial,	
periocular, and intravitreal	4
chemotherapy. Curr Opin	
Ophthalmol Sep 2014; Vol 25, Issue	
5; pp. 374-385.	

Literature evaluation codes: S = Literature selected; 1 = Literature rejected = Topic not suitable for scope of content; 2 = Literature rejected = Does not add clinically significant new information; 3 = Literature rejected = Methodology flawed/Methodology limited and unacceptable; 4 = Other (review article, letter, commentary, or editorial)



## **CONTRIBUTORS:**

\*to meet requirement 3

PACKET PREPARATION	DISCLOSURES	EXPERT REVIEW	DISCLOSURES
Margi Schiefelbein, PA	None	Edward Balaban, DO	None
Stacy LaClaire, PharmD	None	James E. Liebmann, MD	None
Felicia Gelsey, MS	None	Jeffrey A. Bubis, DO	None
		Jeffrey Patton, MD	None
		Thomas Marsland, MD	None

#### **ASSIGNMENT OF RATINGS:**

\*to meet requirement 4

	EFFICACY	STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION	COMMENTS	STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE
MICROMEDEX				В
Edward Balaban, DO	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Class Ilb: Recommended, In Some Cases	At least Ilb. I suspect could be Ila – lack of control groups and I suspect some underlying selection bias.	N/A



James E. Liebmann, MD	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Class Ilb: Recommended, in Some Cases	The articles for review document a high level of activity of intra-arterial (IA) melphalan as treatment of retinoblastoma. These findings come from several centers and are consistent. IA melphalan is a reasonable option for treatment of retinoblastoma, particularly if eye preservation is an important consideration. It should only be performed at a center where clinicians have experience with the procedure. Intravitreal melphalan also seems to be a safe, reasonable option for patients with documented intravitreal spread of retinoblastoma. Intravitreal administration of melphalan should only be performed at a center where clinicians have experience with the procedure.	N/A
Jeffrey A. Bubis, DO	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Class Ilb: Recommended, In Some Cases	Non-randomized data. Very promising. Risk: benefit ratio not clear without randomized trials for all patients.	N/A
Jeffrey Patton, MD	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Class IIa: Recommended, in Most Cases	None	N/A
Thomas Marsland, MD	Evidence Favors Efficacy	Class IIa: Recommended, In Most Cases	Several studies including meta analyses suggest effectiveness with acceptable toxicity. No randomized trials, but is a rare tumor and this is only for a select subset of those patients.	N/A