

## COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY TRACKING FORM FOR ONCOLOGY OFF-LABEL USES DERIVED FROM GUIDELINES

**DATE:** June 6, 2025

**DRUG NAME:** Carbamazepine

**OFF-LABEL USE:** Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, Prophylaxis

COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS	
1	Provide criteria used to evaluate/prioritize the request (therapy)
2	Disclose evidentiary materials reviewed or considered
3	Provide names of individuals who have substantively participated in the review or disposition of the request and disclose their potential direct or indirect conflicts of interest
4	Provide meeting minutes and records of votes for disposition of the request (therapy)

**EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA:** C, \*to meet requirement 1(C, L)

CODE	EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA
A	Treatment represents an established standard of care or significant <b>advance</b> over current therapies
C	<b>Cancer</b> or cancer-related condition
E	Quantity and robustness of <b>evidence</b> for use support consideration
L	<b>Limited</b> alternative therapies exist for condition of interest
P	<b>Pediatric</b> condition
R	<b>Rare</b> disease
S	<b>Serious</b> , life-threatening condition

**Note:** a combination of codes may be applied to fully reflect points of consideration [eg, therapy may represent an advance in the treatment of a life-threatening condition with limited treatment alternatives (ASL)]

**EVIDENCE CONSIDERED:**

\*to meet requirements 2 and 4

CITATION	LITERATURE CODE
Loprinzi CL, Lacchetti C, Bleeker J, Cavaletti G, Chauhan C, Hertz DL, Kelley MR, Lavino A, Lustberg MB, Paice JA, Schneider BP, Lavoie Smith EM, Smith ML, Smith TJ, Wagner-Johnston N, Hershman DL. Prevention and Management of Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy in Survivors of Adult Cancers: ASCO Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol. 2020 Oct 1;38(28):3325-3348. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.01399. Epub 2020 Jul 14. PMID: 32663120.	S

Literature evaluation codes: S = Literature selected; 1 = Literature rejected = Topic not suitable for scope of content; 2 = Literature rejected = Does not add clinically significant new information; 3 = Literature rejected = Methodology flawed/Methodology limited and unacceptable; 4 = Other (review article, letter, commentary, or editorial)

#### CONTRIBUTORS:

\*to meet requirement 3

PACKET PREPARATION	DISCLOSURES
Stacy LaClaire, PharmD	None
Deanna Rossi, PharmD	None

#### ASSIGNMENT OF RATINGS:

\*to meet requirement 4

	EFFICACY	STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION	STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE
MERATIVE MICROMEDEX	Class IIb- Evidence is Inconclusive	Class III – Not Recommended	B