IBM Watson Health...



COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY TRACKING FORM

DATE: 6/27/2019

PACKET: 1903

DRUG: Ponatinib Hydrochloride

USE: Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia; Newly diagnosed, in combination with chemotherapy

| COMPENDIA TRANSPARENCY REQUIREMENTS | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Provide criteria used to evaluate/prioritize the request (therapy) | | |
| 2 | Disclose evidentiary materials reviewed or considered | | |
| 3 | Provide names of individuals who have substantively participated in the review or disposition of the request and disclose their potential | | |
| | direct or indirect conflicts of interest | | |
| 4 | Provide meeting minutes and records of votes for disposition of the request (therapy) | | |

EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA: C, R, L, S *to meet requirement 1

| CODE | EVALUATION/PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA | | |
|------|--|--|--|
| Α | Treatment represents an established standard of care or significant advance over current therapies | | |
| С | Cancer or cancer-related condition | | |
| E | Quantity and robustness of evidence for use support consideration | | |
| L | Limited alternative therapies exist for condition of interest | | |
| Р | Pediatric condition | | |
| R | Rare disease | | |
| S | Serious, life-threatening condition | | |

Note: a combination of codes may be applied to fully reflect points of consideration [eg, therapy may represent an advance in the treatment of a life-threatening condition with limited treatment alternatives (ASL)]

IBM Watson Health...



EVIDENCE CONSIDERED:

*to meet requirements 2 and 4

| | to meet requirements 2 and 4 | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| CITATION | STUDY-SPECIFIC COMMENTS | LITERATURE CODE | | | |
| Jabbour, E., Short, N.J., Ravandi, F., et al: Combination of hyper-CVAD with ponatinib as first-line therapy for patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: long-term follow-up of a single-centre, phase 2 study. Lancet Haematol Dec 2018; Vol 5, Issue 12; pp. e618-e627. | This was an open-label, single-arm phase II clinical trial that assessed ponatinib in patients with previously untreated Philadelphia-chromosome-positive ALL. There was low risk of bias associated with selection of cohorts and assessment of outcomes. Data was gathered prospectively for objective outcomes. All subjects were included in the analyses. One caveat of the study is that it lacked a control group. | S | | | |
| Jabbour, E., Kantarjian, H., Ravandi, F., et al: Combination of hyper-CVAD with ponatinib as first-line therapy for patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a single-centre, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol Nov 2015; Vol 16, Issue 15; pp. 1547-1555. | | 2 | | | |
| Short,N.J., Kantarjian,H., Pui,C.H., et al: SOHO state of the art update and next questions: Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk Jul 2018; Vol 18, Issue 7; pp. 439-446. | | 4 | | | |



IBM Watson Health...

| Hoelzer, D., Bassan, R., Dombret, H., | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| et al: Acute lymphoblastic | |
| leukaemia in adult patients: ESMO | |
| Clinical Practice Guidelines for | 1 |
| diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. | |
| Ann.Oncol Sep 2016; Vol 27, Issue | |
| suppl 5; pp. v69-v82. | |

Literature evaluation codes: S = Literature selected; 1 = Literature rejected = Topic not suitable for scope of content; 2 = Literature rejected = Does not add clinically significant new information; 3 = Literature rejected = Methodology flawed/Methodology limited and unacceptable; 4 = Other (review article, letter, commentary, or editorial)

CONTRIBUTORS:

*to meet requirement 3

| PACKET PREPARATION | DISCLOSURES | EXPERT REVIEW | DISCLOSURES |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---|
| Megan Smith | None | | |
| Stacy LaClaire, PharmD | None | | |
| Catherine Sabatos, PharmD | None | | |
| | | John D Roberts | None |
| | | Jeffrey Klein | None |
| | | Richard LoCicero | Incyte Corporation |
| | | | Local PI for REVEAL. Study is a multicenter, non-interventional, non-randomized, prospective, observational study in an adult population for patients who have been diagnosed with clinically overt PV and are being followed in either community or academic medical centers in the US who will be enrolled over a 12-month period and observed for 36 months. |





ASSIGNMENT OF RATINGS:

*to meet requirement 4

| rto meet requirement 4 | EFFICACY | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION | COMMENTS | STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| IBM MICROMEDEX | Evidence Favors Efficacy | Class IIb: Recommended, in Some Cases | | В |
| John Roberts | Evidence Favors Efficacy | Class Ilb: Recommended, in Some Cases | Imatinib, a first generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the bcr-abl translocation, in combination with chemotherapy is the accepted standard treatment of Philadelphia chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults. In single arm studies ponatinib, a next generation TKI, in combination with chemotherapy showed effectiveness similar to previous studies of imatinib in combination with chemotherapy. The combination was safe and reasonably tolerated. Nilotinib has a different side effect profile than imatinib. There are theoretical reasons both to prefer and not prefer ponatinib. Some have suggested that chemotherapy should be adjusted to be less dose intense when given with a TKI. | |
| Richard LoCicero | Effective | Class IIa: Recommended, in Most Cases | A phase II trial has established the effectiveness of ponatinib in combination with chemotherapy in Ph+ALL. No unexpected toxicities were noted. | |
| Jeffrey Klein | Evidence Favors Efficacy | Class IIb: Recommended, in Some Cases | The use of Ponatinib in newly diagnosed ALL Philadelphia chromosome postive patients along with other chemotherapy demonstrated a "good event free survival." Further studies are needed to evaluate the advantage of Ponatinib over other similar products in its class. The incidence of more serious adverse effects needs to be considered as well. | |